Frequency and type of canal isthmuses in first molars detected by endoscopic inspection during periradicular surgery
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Abstract

Aim To analyse the occurrence of canal isthmuses in molars following root-end resection.

Methodology The material consisted of 56 mandibular and 32 maxillary first molars subjected to periradicular surgery. Based on radiographic, clinical, as well as intraoperative status, only roots with associated pathological lesions were treated. In total, 124 roots were resected (80 mandibular and 44 maxillary molar roots). The cut root faces were inspected with a rigid endoscope following apical root-end resection. The number of canals as well as the presence and type of canal isthmuses were recorded.

Results In maxillary first molars, 76% of resected mesio-buccal roots had two canals and an isthmus, 10% had two canals but no isthmus, and 14% had a single canal. All disto-buccal and palatal roots had one canal. In mandibular first molars, 83% of mesial roots had two canals with an isthmus. In 11%, two canals but no isthmus were present, and 6% demonstrated a single canal. Sixty-four per cent of distal roots had a single canal and 36% had two canals with an isthmus.

Conclusions This clinical study during periradicular surgery and intraoperative endoscopic examination of first permanent molars found a high frequency of canal isthmuses at the resection level. Endoscopic inspection also demonstrated that none of the isthmuses were filled, emphasizing the difficulty of orthograde instrumentation and root filling of canal isthmuses.
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Introduction
A canal isthmus is generally defined as a narrow, ribbon-shaped communication between two root canals that contains pulp tissue (Weller et al. 1995). The incidence of canal isthmuses varies for type of tooth and level within a given root (Vertucci 1984), but also appears to be age-related (Al Shalabi et al. 2000). The incidence of canal isthmuses in first molars ranges from 54 to 89% in mesial roots of mandibular first molars, and from 5 to 53% in mesio-buccal roots of maxillary first molars (Hsu & Kim 1997). The incidence of isthmuses has been reported to be highest 4–6 mm from the apex in mesial roots of mandibular first molars, and 3–5 mm from the apex in mesio-buccal roots of maxillary first molars (Weller et al. 1995, Hsu & Kim 1997).

The canal isthmus has its clinical significance in two fields of dentistry: conventional root canal treatment and periradicular surgery. As mechanical cleaning and shaping of a canal isthmus is difficult and ineffective, it must be considered in failures following conventional
orthograde root canal treatment. In periradicular surgery, a canal isthmus, when overlooked, can also lead to failure (Hsu & Kim 1997).

With the advent of microsurgical principles using microinstruments and magnification devices, the canal isthmus can be identified and prepared during periradicular surgery (Kim 1997, von Arx & Walker 2000). The recognition and management of the canal isthmus is an important factor that could improve the success rate of periradicular surgery in posterior teeth (Rubinstein & Kim 1999, 2002).

In a recent in-vitro study analysing the diagnostic accuracy of endoscopy compared with scanning electron microscopy for detection of microstructures following apical root-end resection, a 100% specificity and sensitivity was found for endoscopic identification of the canal isthmus (von Arx et al. 2003). It was concluded that the endoscope could be recommended for diagnosis of the cut root face in periradicular surgery.

The objective of the present clinical study was twofold: to assess the presence of a canal isthmus in molars during periradicular surgery using an endoscope, and to determine the type of canal isthmus present at the cut root face.

Materials and methods

Patient selection

Patients referred for periradicular surgery in molars were consecutively enrolled. Patients were fully instructed about the surgical procedure, postoperative care and prognosis, and alternative treatment options. Informed and written consent was obtained. Main exclusion criteria were general medical contraindica-

**Figure 1** Mesial root of a mandibular first molar showing two canals without a communication (type I) at the cut root face.
tions for (oral) surgical procedures and a concomitant, advanced marginal periodontitis.

Overall, 35 maxillary and 60 mandibular permanent molars were treated. As second molars were seldom treated, they were subsequently excluded for isthmus evaluation. A total of 32 first maxillary molars and 56 first mandibular molars were included in the present study.

Surgical technique
Periradicular surgery was performed under local anesthesia in an operating room. Following an intrasulcular incision extending to both adjacent teeth and a mesial release incision, a triangular full mucoperiosteal flap was raised. When necessary, a distal release incision was made for trapezoidal flap elevation. Osteotomies were performed with round burs under copious saline irrigation. Affected roots were resected approximately 3–4 mm by grinding down the root-end with a small round bur with subsequent flattening of the cut root-face using a fissure bur. Following debridement of all pathological tissue, haemostasis of the bony crypt was achieved with an aluminium-chloride containing paste (Expasyl; Produits Dentaires Pierre Rolland, Merignac, France). Following endoscopic inspection (see below), root-end cavities were prepared and filled with either SuperEBA (Staident International, Staines, UK), MTA (Dentsply Tulsa Dental, Tulsa, OK, USA) or Retroplast (Retroplast Trading, Ronne, Denmark). Primary wound closure was accomplished with multiple interrupted sutures.

Isthmus evaluation
Methylene blue was utilized for vital tissue staining (Cambruzzi et al. 1985). This method allowed the distinction of organic from inorganic tissues, and was
helpful locating the periodontal ligament, accessory canals, unfilled canals, canal isthmuses, cracks and root fractures. The number of canals at the cut root face and the presence and type of canal isthmuses were recorded. Isthmuses were classified according to Hsu & Kim (1997). Type I is defined as two canals with no notable communication (Fig. 1). Type II is defined as a hair-thin connection between the two main canals (Fig. 2). Type III differs from the latter only with the presence of three canals instead of two (Fig. 3). Type IV has an isthmus with extended canals into the connection (Fig. 4). Type V is recognized as a true connection or wide corridor of tissue between the two main canals (Fig. 5).

For magnification and visualization, a 3-mm wide rigid endoscope (Hopkins Tele-Otoscope 70°; Karl Storz GmbH, Tuttlingen, Germany) (von Arx et al. 2002) was placed close to the root end (Fig. 6). Magnification ranged from ×50 to ×100 depending on the distance between the lens and the root end. A digital camera coupled to the ocular of the endoscope transmitted the image to a video screen placed opposite the surgeon, and to a video recorder.

**Results**

A total of 124 roots were analysed in 88 first permanent molars (Table 1). The root most often treated was the mesial root of mandibular first molars (42%).

A canal isthmus was present in 76% of mesio-buccal roots in maxillary first molars, in 83% of mesial roots in mandibular first molars, and in 36% of distal roots in mandibular first molars. None of the canal isthmuses had been filled by the previous orthograde root canal treatment. An isthmus was

---

**Figure 3** Mesio-buccal root of a maxillary first molar with a type III canal isthmus characterized by an additional canal in the middle of the isthmus.
never found in disto-buccal and palatal roots of maxillary first molars (Table 2).

Mesio-buccal roots in maxillary first molars most often showed a type II isthmus (hair-like thin tissue connection). In mesial roots of mandibular first molars, type IV (canals extending into isthmus) and type V (broad tissue connection between canals) configurations were found more frequently than type II (Table 3). In distal roots of mandibular first molars, a type V isthmus was most likely when an isthmus was present.

Discussion

This study evaluated the presence and type of canal isthmuses in maxillary and mandibular first molars during periradicular surgery. The in-vivo approach allowed the determination of the canal configuration only at the resection level of the cut root end during the surgical procedure. This is in contrast to in-vitro studies in which most often serial sections were used to evaluate the incidence and location of canals and isthmuses at different root levels.

For mesio-buccal roots of maxillary first permanent molars the incidence of canal isthmuses ranges from 5 to 53% (Hsu & Kim 1997). In studies evaluating serial sections at different levels from the apex, the highest incidence of canal isthmuses was found at 4–5 mm with incidences ranging from 19 to 100% (Weller et al. 1995, Hsu & Kim 1997, Tam & Yu 2002, Teixeira et al. 2003). The present study found a canal isthmus in 76% of mesio-buccal roots. The difficulties in cleaning and shaping the canal system of the mesio-buccal root during conventional root canal treatment may lead to eventual failure necessitating retreatment. In contrast, disto-buccal and
palatal roots containing only one canal had to be surgically retreated less frequently than mesio-buccal roots.

Incidences of canal isthmuses in mandibular first molars range from 54 to 89% in the mesial root, and from 15 to 17% in the distal root (Hsu & Kim 1997). Again, studies evaluating different sections show the highest incidences 4–6 mm from the apex (Hsu & Kim 1997, Teixeira et al. 2003). In the present study, the frequency of canal isthmuses in mandibular first molars was 83% for the mesial root and 36% for the distal root. Once again, the data of the present study corroborate the findings of the in-vitro studies.

The presence of a canal isthmus has been reported as one of the major reasons for failure following surgical and non-surgical root-canal treatment in first permanent molars (Hsu & Kim 1997, Tam & Yu 2002). Any opening on the resected root surface may act as a portal of exit. The root canal system may contain necrotic debris, tissue remnants or organic substrates that support the growth of microorganisms. A critical step in periradicular surgery is, therefore, the identification and sealing of all possible leaking microstructures.

With the advent of illumination and magnification, visualization and inspection of root apices and of resected root surfaces have become easier and more predictable (Kim 1997). The use of surgical microscopes has been widely accepted in conventional and surgical endodontics (Mines et al. 1999). One clinical study evaluated the identification of the second canal (mb2) in the mesio-buccal root of maxillary molars over an 8-year period (Stropko 1999). Without magnification, the mb2 canal was located in 73.2% of first molars. When employing the microscope routinely, this number increased to 93%. This enhanced location of the canal orifice and/or negotiation of the mb2 canal in

Figure 5 Mesial root of a mandibular first molar with a large corridor between the two canals (type V canal isthmus).
mesio-buccal roots of maxillary (first) molars using an operating microscope has been corroborated by several in-vitro studies (Gördüysus et al. 2001, Baldassari et al. 2002, Schwarze et al. 2002). In contrast, a clinical study with more stringent inclusion criteria (mb2 canal had to be negotiated and obturated to within 4 mm of the apex) could not enhance the location of mb2 canals with the use of an operating microscope (Sempira & Hartwell 2000).

### Table 1
Number and percentage of treated roots ($n = 124$)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Root Type</th>
<th>$n$</th>
<th>(%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mesial root of mandibular first molar</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>(41.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mesio-buccal root of maxillary first molar</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>(23.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Distal root of mandibular first molar</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>(22.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disto-buccal root of maxillary first molar</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>(8.9 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Palatal root of maxillary first molar</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>(3.2 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>124</td>
<td>(100)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 2
Frequency of canal isthmuses ($n = 124$)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Root Type</th>
<th>One canal (no isthmus)</th>
<th>Two canals (no isthmus)</th>
<th>Two canals with isthmus</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Maxillary first molar</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mesio-buccal root ($n = 29$)</td>
<td>4 (14%)</td>
<td>3 (10%)</td>
<td>22 (76%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disto-buccal root ($n = 11$)</td>
<td>11 (100%)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Palatal root ($n = 4$)</td>
<td>4 (100%)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mandibular first molar</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mesial root ($n = 52$)</td>
<td>3 (6%)</td>
<td>6 (11%)</td>
<td>43 (83%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Distal root ($n = 28$)</td>
<td>18 (64%)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>10 (36%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 3
Overview of isthmus types found in molar roots ($n = 124$)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Isthmus</th>
<th>No</th>
<th>Type I</th>
<th>Type II</th>
<th>Type III</th>
<th>Type IV</th>
<th>Type V</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Maxillary first molar</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mesio-buccal root ($n = 29$)</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disto-buccal root ($n = 11$)</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Palatal root ($n = 4$)</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mandibular first molar</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mesial root ($n = 52$)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Distal root ($n = 28$)</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 6 (a) Rigid endoscope with rod lens system (Tele Otoscope, 6 cm long, 3 mm wide, 70° viewing angle). (b) The tip of the endoscope has been placed into the bony crypt opposite the cut root face.
The endoscope used in this study is a device that can optimize visualization in periradicular surgery. It is particularly helpful in molars with a difficult viewing angle and limited access. The endoscope can be placed into the bony crypt and in close contact to the root end. Adjustment of the viewing angle is rapid and easy. The use of a fiberoptic endoscope has been reported recently (Bahcall & Barss 2001). However, a rod lens endoscope is still recommended in surgical endodontics as it provides better image quality compared with a fiberoptic system (von Arx et al. 2002, Bahcall & Barss 2003).

Recently, an in-vitro study has demonstrated that an endoscope was significantly superior for identification of resected root end dentinal cracks compared with unaided/corrected vision, loupes or the microscope (Slaton et al. 2003). The diagnostic accuracy of endoscopy was corroborated in a study evaluating the specificity and sensitivity of identification of microstructures during root-end treatment of extracted molars using a rigid rod lens endoscope in comparison with scanning electron microscopy (von Arx et al. 2003). The sensitivity of identification of filling voids, crack formation or chipping ranged between 73 and 95%, whereas the specificity of the same parameters ranged between 77 and 100% for the endoscope compared with SEM. The specificity and sensitivity of canal isthmus detection was 100% each for the endoscope compared with SEM.

Conclusions

- The relatively high incidence of canal isthmuses in mesio-buccal roots of maxillary first molars, and in mesial roots of mandibular molars described in in-vitro studies was confirmed.
- None of the canal isthmuses had been obliterated by orthograde canal filling.
- In mesio-buccal roots of maxillary first molars, a type II canal isthmus prevailed, whereas mesial roots of mandibular first molars most often presented with type IV or type V canal isthmuses.
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